



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/030,262

04/17/2002

Bruno Cricre

017751-030

8894

21839

7590

06/27/2006

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC  
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS)  
POST OFFICE BOX 1404  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/030,262	CRIERE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final rejection

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian O'Shaughnessy.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 June 2006

**Time:** 3.00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*on record*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the attorney that the instant claims will be in condition for allowance upon clarification and correction of the following:

1. Capitalize the trademark names of simethicone and dimethicone in the instant specification and provide evidence that the generic compounds of the above trademark products, recited in claims 19 and 31 (in response to the non-final rejection of 1-12-04) correspond to the trademark compounds of the specification.
2. In claims 1 and 21, line 2 delete the word "disposed" to more clearly state that the fenofibrate, surfactant and cellulose are present on the neutral granule. further, the specification also fails to provide support to the word "disposed".
3. Insert the abbreviation "(HPMC)" in claim 2 after hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, and
4. Include the sub-headings "brief description of drawings" on page 6, after line 17 of the specification

Attorney agreed to the above suggestions and informed the examiner that evidence will be submitted in response to this interview. ..